

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/811,029	03/26/2004	Brian R. Cartmell	9807	
75	90 08/10/2006		EXAM	INER
John P. Luther, Esq.			PORTIS, SHANTELL L	
Newman & Newman, LLP Suite 610			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
505 Fifth Avenue South			2617	
Seattle, WA 98104			DATE MAILED: 08/10/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		I Application No.	T Applicant(a)			
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/811,029	CARTMELL, BRIAN R.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	Shantell Portis	2617			
Period f	or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address -			
WHI - Exte afte - If No - Fail Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Densions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror te, cause the application to become ABANDON	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)🛛	1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 June 2006</u> .					
•	•	is action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	tion of Claims					
4)⊠ 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>9 and 10</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) <u>9 and 10</u> is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.				
Applicat	tion Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examin The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So ction is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)[ a <sub>)</sub>	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document according to the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received.  Its have been received in Applica  Its have been received in Applica	tion Noved in this National Stage			
	nt(s) ice of References Cited (PTO-892) ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)				
3) 🔲 Info	ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 er No(s)/Mail Date	<u> </u>	Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2617

### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed June 2, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Duckeck discloses a navigational system that transmit navigational information from a sender to a receiver and that the system is directed to the use of location-based information for the sake of knowing locations. The applicant claims a system for use of location-based information for the purpose of encoding or encrypting other information. The examiner agrees with the applicant's arguments, however, Duckeck also uses a system for transmitting location-based information for the purpose of encoding or encrypting information such as traffic messages.

Based on the above remarks, Duckeck taken alone meets the limitations of the invention as presently claimed. The rejections are set forth below.

## Specification

2. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

The abstract is objected to because the word "said" in line 4 should be changed to "the". Appropriate correction is required.

3. Claim 9 is objected to because of the following informalities: The claim has two preambles: "A method of transmitting information, comprising:" and "A method for transmitting information from a sender to a receiver, comprising:" applicant must chose one preamble. The number "1" at the beginning of the claim should be deleted.

Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Duckeck, U.S. Patent No. 6,338,019.

Regarding Claims 9 and 10, Duckeck discloses a method for transmitting information (traffic messages) from a sender (navigational device 1) to a receiver (TMC receiver 2), comprising: encoding information based on location data, the location data having corresponding location/coordinate pair data that associates the location data with the information in a remotely-accessible database (first location database) of information (Col. 3, lines 1-13 and lines 40-43); and transmitting the encoded information to a remote device having a similar location/coordinate pair data and having access to the remotely-accessible database (second location database) of information

Art Unit: 2617

such that the remote device can decode the encoded information with reference to the similar location/coordinate pair data and the remotely-accessible database of information (Col. 3, lines 1-13 and lines 40-43).

#### Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Agnew et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,950,745 discloses a navigation system.

Art Unit: 2617

Mannings et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,111,539 discloses a navigation information system.

Walters et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,816,782 discloses an apparatus, systems and methods for navigation data transfer between portable devices.

Walters et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,768,450 discloses a system and method for wirelessly linking a GPS device and a portable electronic device.

Art Unit: 2617

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shantell Portis whose telephone number is 571-272-0886. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:00am-3:30pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lester Kincaid can be reached on 571-272-7922. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

SLP

LESTER G. KINCAID SUPERVISORY PRIMARY EXAMINER